



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,855	03/06/2002	Patrick T. Prendergast	802_003	8597
25191	7590	12/11/2006	EXAMINER	
BURR & BROWN PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068			KANTAMNENI, SHOBHA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/091,855	Applicant(s) PRENDERGAST, PATRICK T.	
	Examiner Shobha Kantamneni	Art Unit 1617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 and 33-140 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 and 45-140 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) NONE is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 18-31, 33-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/22/06 has been entered.

The Amendment received on 09/22/2006, wherein claims 18-19, 26, and 30-31 have been amended, claim 32 has been cancelled, and new claim 140 has been added.

Applicant's amendment is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 18-44 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Applicant's amendment by limiting to specific cancer such as pancreatic cancer or lung cancer overcomes the rejection of claims 18-44 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of lung cancer and pancreatic cancer, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment of neoplasia in general. The rejection is herein withdrawn.

Note: Applicant's elect the species Circiliol which is 5,3',4'-trihydroxy-6,7 dimethoxy flavone as the compound, and gemcitabine as chemotherapeutic agent , and also the election of pancreatic cancer, lung cancer as the type of neoplasia in the reply filed on November 19, 2004.

Art Unit: 1617

Claim 140 is withdrawn from consideration as it corresponds to non-elected species.

Claims 18-31, and 33-44 are examined herein as they read on the elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31 recites the limitation "said neoplasia" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-27, 30-33, 37-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Francis et al. (WO 00/03706) in view of Chinery et al. (WO /

Art Unit: 1617

9901118), and further in view of Tsukada et al. (Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, Vol. 140, No.3, 1986, pages 832-836).

Francis et al. (WO 00/03706) teach a therapeutic composition comprising, a therapeutically efficient amount of a flavonoid type compound of formula (I) in combination with cytotoxic agents in the treatment of tumors. In formula (I) of '706, when R₁, R₅ are H; R₂, R₃ are C1-alkoxy group; R₄ is OH, and R₆ is phenyl group substituted with 2 OH groups results in circiliol of the instant invention. See page 3, lines 23-30. The chemotherapeutic agents such as gemcitabine, nucleotide analogues such as 5-fluoro Uracil are disclosed. See page 6, line 12. It is also taught that the flavonoids can be combined with the major cytotoxic agents used in polychemotherapies for solid tumors. Examples of methods of use of the compounds flavonoids of formula (I) with chemotherapeutic agent gemcitabine for the treatment of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, bronchial cancer is also taught. See page 39, line 20-page 40, line 5. In the chemotherapeutic treatment of cancers with cytotoxic agents, flavonoids can be administered at the start of chemotherapeutic treatments, either in a single dosage intake or over several days at the start of these treatments depending on the chemotherapeutic protocol. The flavonoid compounds of formula (I) are administered at doses 5 to 50 mg/kg/day. The flavonoid compound and cytotoxic agent can be administered orally, intravenously etc. See page 4, lines 1-10, lines 20-25; lines 30-33, page 6; pages 16, 17. Francis et al. teach that the flavonoids of formula(I) which encompass circiliol can be combined with gemcitabine in a treatment regimen for the treatment of pancreatic cancer. See page 39, lines 10-15; page 81, claims 2, 5, and 10.

Art Unit: 1617

The treatment of Neoplasia in the form of solid tumors such as non-small-cell lung cancers, small cell lung cancer by employing flavonoids of formula(I), and a chemotherapeutic agent is also taught. See page 14, lines 9-12; page 17.

Francis et al. does not explicitly teach the employment of particular flavone, circiliol with chemotherapeutic agent, gemcitabine for the treatment of Pancreatic cancer.

Francis et al. does not explicitly teach the employment of particular flavone, circiliol with chemotherapeutic agent, gemcitabine for the treatment of lung cancer.

Francis et al. do not teach a method of treating pancreatic cancer wherein the compound and/or chemotherapeutic agent are contained in a liposome, and said liposome vehicle can be targeted to tumors.

Chinery et al. (WO/9901118) disclose a method to enhance the cytotoxic activity of an antineoplastic drug comprising administering an effective amount of a antineoplastic drug to a host in combination with an effective amount of an antioxidant such as lipoxygenase inhibitor, flavonoids, and phenolic compounds. See page 6, lines 4 to 15; page 43, lines 7-10. The antioxidants increase the effectiveness and decrease the toxicity of antineoplastic agents. The antineoplastic agents include Fluorouracil, Gencitabine, Tamoxifine etc. See page 45, lines 15-20. Chinery et al. further teaches that conditions such as bone cancer, breast cancer, gastric cancer, pancreatic cancer can be treated using said combination. See page 47, line 5-page 48, line2.

Chinery et al. also teaches that pharmaceutical compositions wherein the active compounds can be in a controlled release formulation, including implants and

Art Unit: 1617

microencapsulated delivery systems. Biodegradable, biocompatible polymers such as ethylene vinyl acetate, polyanhydrides, polyglycolic acid, collagen, polylactic acid can be used. See page 51, lines 18-21. Liposomal suspensions containing the actives, including liposomes targeted to infected cells with monoclonal antibodies to viral antigens are also disclosed.

Tsukada et al. (Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, Vol. 140, No.3, 1986, pages 832-836) teach that flavonoid compounds like Circiliol is a potent specific inhibitor for arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase and suppressed the growth of leukemia cells in human. See abstract; page 834, Fig. 1.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the particular flavonoid Circiliol and combine with gemcitabine as taught by Francis for the treatment of pancreatic cancer. One would be motivated to combine Circiliol with Gemcitabine because (i) Chinery teaches that antineoplastic drugs such as gemcitabine can be combined with lipoxygenase inhibitor in the treatment of pancreatic cancer (ii) Circiliol is a potent inhibitor of arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor according to Tsukada. One would be motivated to use a combination of Circiliol and Gemcitabine for the treatment of pancreatic cancer with the expectation of increasing the effectiveness and decreasing the toxicity of chemotherapeutic agent.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Circiliol as flavone and combine with gemcitabine as taught by Francis for the treatment of lung cancer. One would be motivated to combine Circiliol a potent inhibitor of arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase as taught by Tsukada with Gemcitabine

Art Unit: 1617

because (i) Chinery teaches that antineoplastic drugs can be combined with lipoxygenase inhibitor in the treatment of cancer (ii) Cirsiolol is a lipoxygenase inhibitor. One would be motivated to use a combination of Cirsiolol and Gemcitabine with the expectation of treating lung cancer, with increased effectiveness and decreased toxicity of chemotherapeutic agent.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use liposomal suspensions containing Cirsiolol or chemotherapeutic agent because Chinery teaches liposomal suspension can be used as pharmaceutical carriers for Gemcitabine and flavonoids. The motivation to use liposomal vehicle containing the chemotherapeutic agent or cirsiolol is with the expectation of delivering the actives more effectively to the infected cells.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over Francis et al. in view of Chinery et al. (WO / 9901118), and in view of Tsukada et al. (Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, Vol. 140, No.3, 1986, pages 832-836), as applied to Claims 18-27, 30-33, 37-44 above, and further in view of Wang et al. (US 6,608,026), rejection of record.

Francis et al., Chinery, and Tsukada are applied as discussed above.

The references do not teach the administration of radiation treatment.

Wang et al. teach a combination therapy in the treatment of pancreatic cancer by administering a therapeutically effective amount of peptoid, and the antineoplastic agent such as gemcitabine. See column 12, lines 62-66. Wang further teaches that the

Art Unit: 1617

peptoid, chemotherapeutic agent and/or radiation may be administered concurrently, sequentially, in any order, depending on the nature of the disease, the condition of the patient, and the actual choice of chemotherapeutic agent and/or radiation. See column 11, line 61-column 12, line 8.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to administer radiation treatment to a patient undergoing chemotherapy because Wang teaches that chemotherapeutic agent and radiation may be administered concurrently, sequentially in any order. One would be motivated to administer radiation treatment with the expectation of obtaining a beneficial effect of treating cancer more effectively.

Claims 29, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over Francis et al. in view of Chinery et al. (WO / 9901118), and in view of Tsukada et al. (Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, Vol. 140, No.3, 1986, pages 832-836), as applied to Claims 18-27, 30-33, 37-44 above, and further in view of Borisy (US 6,569,853), rejection of record.

Francis et al., Chinery, and Tsukada are applied as discussed above.

The references do not specifically teach that the compounds and/or chemotherapeutic agents are contained in a pharmaceutical formulation which has an enteric coating made of polymers such as poly(lactic-glycolic acid) polyester, cellulose acetate phthalate etc. The references do not teach performing surgery on the patient.

Borisy et al. teach a method of treating a patient having cancer comprising administering chlorpromazine and pentamidine. See column 23 , lines 30-35. The treatment can be performed alone or in conjunction with another therapy such as surgery, radiation, chemotherapy. See column 13, lines 55-65. Borisy further teaches that the formulations for oral use include tablets, which may be coated to release the active drug in a predetermined pattern or it may be adapted not to release the active drug substance until after passage of the stomach (enteric coating). The polymers such as methacrylic acid copolymer, cellulose acetate phthalate, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate etc. are disclosed for enteric coating. See column 15, lines 33-50.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to perform surgery on a patient undergoing chemotherapy because Borisy teaches that chemotherapeutic agent and surgery may be administered in conjunction. One would be motivated to perform surgery with the expectation of obtaining a beneficial effect of treating cancer more effectively.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to contain the chemotherapeutic agent in pharmaceutical formulation which has an enteric coating using polymers such as cellulose acetate because Borisy teaches that the active agents for the treatment of cancer can be contained in a formulation which has enteric coating. One would be motivated to use enteric coating for containing the active agent with the expectation of delaying the disintegration and

absorption of the active agent in the gastrointestinal tract and thereby providing a sustained release of the active.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that "Francis '706 gives no suggestion of using circiliol with a chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of pancreatic or lung cancer". This argument has been considered, but not found persuasive because contrary to applicant's assertion that '706 gives no suggestion of using circiliol with a chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of pancreatic or lung cancer, Francis et al. teach that the flavonoids of formula(I) which encompass circiliol are combined with gemcitabine in a treatment regimen for the treatment of pancreatic cancer. See page 39, lines 10-15; page 81, claims 2, 5, and 10. Thus even though WO '706 et al. does not exemplify Circiliol as preferred compound, it has been well-established that consideration of a reference is not limited to the preferred embodiments or working examples, but extends to the entire disclosure for what it fairly teaches, when viewed in light of the admitted knowledge in the art, to person of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Boe*, 355 F.2d 961, 148 USPQ 507, 510 (CCPA 1966); *In re Lamberti*, 545 F.2d 747, 750, 192 USPQ 279, 280 (CCPA 1976); *In re Fracalossi*, 681 F.2d 792, 794, 215 USPQ, 570 (CCPA 1982); *In re Kaslow*, 707 F.2d 1366, 1374, 217 USPQ 1089, 1095 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Applicant argues that "Chinery's definition of antioxidants includes but is not limited to the following classes of compounds: (H) inhibitors of lipoxygenases and cyclooxygenases." These remarks have been considered. It is respectfully pointed out that Chinery et al. (WO/9901118) disclose a method to enhance the cytotoxic activity of

Art Unit: 1617

an antineoplastic drug comprising administering an effective amount of antineoplastic drug to a host in combination with an effective amount of an antioxidant which broadly includes flavonoids, phenolic compounds, and inhibitors of lipoxygenases. Chinery also teaches a method to enhance the cytotoxic activity of an antineoplastic drug comprising administering an effective amount of a antineoplastic drug to a host in combination with an effective amount of a lipoxygenase inhibitor. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ a combination of Circiliol, a known lipoxygenase inhibitor which is known to suppress the growth of leukemia cells in human, and Gemcitabine for the treatment of pancreatic cancer with reasonable expectation of success of increasing the effectiveness and decreasing the toxicity of chemotherapeutic agent.

Applicant argues that "Any assumption that all flavonoids which include all the chemical groups (flavones, flavanones, isoflavones, coumarins and chalcones) that inhibit any of the many different lipoxygenase enzymes all act as antioxidants is incorrect, as published papers document that polymethoxylated flavonoids like circiliol are virtually inactive as scavengers of the diphenylpicrylhydrazyl radical, a common test of antioxidant activity (see, e.g., Inhibitors of 15-lipoxygenase from the orange Peel. Journal of Agric. Food Chem. 2000 Nov, 48 (11): 5576-80.)". This argument has been considered, but not found persuasive because circiliol is not a polymethoxylated flavonoid. Circiliol is a dimethoxylated compound which possess three free hydroxyl groups. Thus, contrary to applicant's assertion circiliol will possess radical scavenging activity. See for example in the published paper cited by the applicant Journal of Agric.

Art Unit: 1617

Food Chem 2000, Nov, 48(11), page 5578, it is disclosed that hesperidin which possess 2 hydroxy groups attached to the benzene ring is active as radical scavenger. Further, Note that the cited article by the applicant does not provide any data for circilol.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shobha Kantamneni whose telephone number is 571-272-2930. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday, 7.30am-4.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, Ph.D can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shobha Kantamneni, Ph.D
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1617

Shobha Kantamneni, Ph.D
Patent Examiner



SREENI PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER